Developing a Roadmap for Arctic Observing and Data Systems (ROADS). Version 30th August 2019. Review.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Line # | Comment |
| 10 | I would add food and security, meaning eg changing fisheries, transport of food. So actually food insecurity |
| 69 | Intended users, how one define this, eg. ? intended users is lacking supscriberpalplaplapla |
| 77 | Finnish-led effort, Does this has a name or reference, I think Fins would appreciate this 😊 |
| 97 | I am a bit suspicious to have leadership from competed projects, it might be politically a bit vulnerable. Both SAON and SIOS are in difficult position in H2020 Arctic GEOSS call. If there even slight change that this gives a change to direct resources or call texts etc…. Or does this mean that somebody can apply funding to Maybe I have misunderstood |
| 97… | This paragraph is a bit loose here, why can not it be with the governance structure. |
| 183-184 | What means “funding developed through peer-reviewed process” in this context. Is there a particular funding for this? Or oen of the objective to seek it? |
|  |  |
|  |  |
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|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
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General comments:

In general very good starting point, implementation needs lot of effort. In a process type activities a flow chart including governance structure is always clarifying.

I do not see data management, it is anyway about Arctic Observing and data systems. Or this too into details already.