Guidelines for contributing to SAON's 1 2 Roadmap for Arctic Observing and Data Systems (ROADS) 3 **Background** 4 In recent decades, sustained observations of Arctic environmental and socio-economic systems have 5 revealed a pace, magnitude, and extent of change that is unprecedented by many measures. These 6 changes include rapid depletion of the cryosphere (AMAP, 2017), shifts in ecological communities (ICC-7 AK, 2015; CAFF, 2017) that threaten biodiversity while precipitating challenges to food security and 8 resilience across northern communities (ICC-AK 2015; Arctic Council, 2016), and adaption demands from 9 increased human activity (Arctic Council, 2009 and 2016; ICC-CA, 2008; ICC-CA, 2014) that outpace the 10 capabilities of responsible agencies. The local impacts of these changes result in coastal erosion, 11 increased storm surges, wild fires, damage to infrastructure and risks to fresh water supplies from 12 degrading permafrost to name a few. Observed impacts from Arctic change are not confined to the 13 region. Melting Arctic land ice impacts sea level globally (IPCC, 2013), while regional alterations to the 14 atmosphere and ocean influence the timing and severity of weather in midlatitudes (Overland et al., 15 2016) and global ocean circulation (IPCC, 2013). Sustained observations of the region along with model 16 projections provide critical insights to needed adaptive responses, yet Arctic observations are currently 17 too limited and insufficiently coordinated to adequately inform them. 18 The Arctic region is vast; it crosses many national boundaries and is home to Indigenous Peoples. Scores 19 of independently sponsored activities are responsible for collecting and disseminating Arctic 20 observations without a comprehensive mechanism for linking and coordination. Challenges of Arctic-21 specific conditions (e.g. Polar Night, extreme cold) increase observing system costs and constrain 22 coverage. Fragmented research and observing activities that do not work in equitable partnership with 23 Arctic Indigenous Peoples put a strain on Indigenous communities and are unlikely to address the needs 24 they have determined to support holistic decision making across scales. International sharing of 25 observational assets and partnership approaches with Arctic Indigenous Peoples are thus imperative. 26 Collectively, these challenges of collaboration in response to rapid change motivated the initiation of the 27 Sustaining Arctic Observing Networks (SAON) process. 28 SAON is a joint initiative of the Arctic Council and the International Arctic Science Committee (IASC) that 29 was created to strengthen multinational engagement in and coordination of pan-Arctic observing (Arctic 30 Council, 2011). In recognition of the complex dimensions of Arctic observing activities, and the equally 31 complex organizational patchwork of observing partners and infrastructures, SAON's intent is to unite 32 Arctic and non-Arctic countries and Indigenous Peoples in support of a systematic network of activities 33 through structured facilitation. SAON partner nations have already considerably invested in Arctic in situ 34 and satellite observing in support of operational needs and academic research; regional governments, 35 Indigenous Peoples and local communities sustain their own networks as well. An important portion of 36 these activities are independently initiated from "the bottom up" through revolving funds. SAON's 37 vision is to bring these parties into a connected, collaborative, and comprehensive long-term pan-Arctic 38 Observing and Data System that serves societal needs. To achieve this vision, SAON will facilitate and

advocate for coordinated international pan-Arctic observations and mobilize the support needed to

sustain them. SAON's Strategic Plan (*SAON, 2018*) outlined these guiding principles to follow in achieving its vision:

- SAON values both research and operational needs for Arctic observations;
- The Observing System is implemented and sustained through open cooperation among all those committed to Arctic observations under a common SAON umbrella;
- The design and operation of the Observing System will be guided by a balance between bottom-up and top-down needs and priorities;
  - SAON will promote contributions of all types of observations including but not limited to *in situ*, remotely sensed, and community-based observations, and the infrastructure supporting them;
- The Observing System will use Indigenous and local knowledge guided by ethical use and honouring
  the proprietary rights of data contributors;
- SAON will promote ethically free and open access to ethically-collected data;
  - SAON will work with counterparts in the Antarctic, global, and national observation communities, where appropriate.

54 55

56

57

58

59

60

52

53

47

48

Several of these principles address critical ethical considerations for partnership with Arctic Indigenous Peoples and use of their Indigenous Knowledge. In particular, processes for the direct engagement and involvement of Indigenous Knowledge holders in the analysis and use of the information need to be in place, and sensitive data need to be protected. They also support rigor, as Indigenous Knowledge holders have noted that segmented science efforts can lead to wrong conclusions. Following these principles, SAON aims to mobilize the support for sustained observations on time scales to decades and beyond. How does SAON propose to do this?

616263

64

65

66

67

68

69

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

#### Roadmapping Approach

In its recent strategic plan, SAON identified the need for a Roadmap for Arctic Observing and Data Systems (ROADS) to set a course for the needed system and to specify how the various partners and players are going to collectively work towards getting it there. The purpose of ROADS is to stimulate multinational resource mobilization around specific plans with clear value propositions, to serve as a tool for the joint utilization of Indigenous Knowledge and science, to coordinate engagement and to ensure that maximal benefits are delivered to SAON's intended users. A well-defined assessment process is required to establish a communal view of "societal benefit" and the intended user base of Arctic observations. A key tool for such assessment will be The International Arctic Observing Assessment Framework (IAOAF, IDA 2017), jointly created by SAON and the Science and Technology Policy Institute following the 2016 Arctic Science Ministerial. The IAOAF identified 12 Arctic-specific Societal Benefit Areas (SBA's) including Food Security, Disaster Preparedness, Human Health, and Fundamental Understanding of Arctic Systems. These SBA's were further specified into sub-areas and ultimately > 160 Key Objectives for ROADS to address. The IAOAF has already been used by the EU IMOBAR project (IMOBAR, 2018) and by a Finnish-led effort to support improved climate and weather observations. Employing the IAOAF as an assessment tool in the ROADS process, along with on-going input from Indigenous, scientific and other subject matter experts, will assure that observing system

requirements result in an optimized network that broadly serves societal needs in the Arctic and globally.

SAON's goal for this Roadmap was presented to and supported by the Second Arctic Science Ministerial (*ASM2*, *2018*); continuing multinational coordination through SAON was endorsed in their Joint Statement with an emphasis on: "moving from the design to the deployment phase of an integrated Arctic observing system". ROADS is a critical tool in making this move from design to deployment as it will systematically develop and integrate requirements for observing and data systems along with implementation strategies that are based on mature approaches, linking new deployments to existing infrastructures for maximal efficiency. ASM2 mobilized new resources to benefit SAON and related Observing and Data System imperatives under funding calls like the U.S. National Science Foundation's Navigating the New Arctic<sup>1</sup> and the E.U. Horizon2020 call to establish an Arctic GEOSS<sup>2</sup>. To achieve a comprehensive ROADS in a timely fashion, SAON will need to call on its networks and partners for input, partnering with them in directing these resources towards deployment plans.

This document provides guidelines for how SAON envisions ROADS to be collectively developed by those networks and partners, with SAON serving in an advisory and facilitation role. In keeping with SAON's guiding principles, ROADS development will proceed at the interface between ROADS guidelines with active advising from SAON (top-down) and a collection of community-led (bottom-up) expert panels.

Who will lead these expert panels? Leadership from existing SAON partner networks (e.g. INTERACT, CBMP, IASOA) and independently funded Arctic observing projects (e.g. INTAROS) and infrastructures (e.g. SIOS) will be critical to achieving a successful ROADS, as will Indigenous experts, global networks and regional activities. For ROADS to be effective in advancing <u>sustained</u> Arctic observations, it must be relevant to national funding and operational agencies and the global networks. Therefore, this document is targeted towards policy-makers at all levels, Arctic Indigenous Peoples organizations, non-Arctic states, academia, civil society and the private sector, as well as other multilateral/international groups.

How will participation in ROADS benefit these efforts? While many of SAON's partners have their own processes for identifying observing system priorities, there is currently no meta-structure to tie these efforts together into a systematic, pan-Arctic view. The community-led Arctic Observing Summit process (AOS, 2015) and the Arctic Science Ministers have both upheld the need for such structure and SAON's role in shepherding it forward. Clear partnerships with SAON continues to be a critical success factor for community-drive proposals and several pilot partnership efforts are emerging to begin ROADS development. These pilot Expert Panel efforts must include direct or indirect support for SAON for ROADS to succeed; they must also include funding for equitable partnership with Indigenous Peoples from inception through implementation.

1 https://www.nsf.gov/funding/pgm\_summ.jsp?pims\_id=505594

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> https://ec.europa.eu/research/participants/data/ref/h2020/wp/2018-2020/main/h2020-wp1820-climate\_en.pdf (H2020 LC-CLA-20-2020)

- To initiate ROADS, the SAON Board empaneled a task force (Road Map Task Force, RMTF) in early 2019
- to set forth definitions and guidelines for the SAON community to follow. What follows are the
- 116 recommendations of that task force.

# ROADS Guidelines – Recommendations of SAON's Roadmapping Task Force

118 ROADS should proceed under the following principles and assumptions:

- It should complement and integrate, without duplication, the current planning approaches used by existing networks (regional of global), activities and projects;
- It should support step-wise development through a flexible, federated and evolving structure that allows "bottom-up" identification of themes and regional foci;
- Indigenous Peoples equitable partnership and funding for their active participation is critical to ROADS from its inception through its implementation.

# A. Essential Arctic Variables

The RMTF reviewed planning approaches employed by a variety of global and regional observing networks<sup>3</sup>. The essential variable strategy clearly emerged as a best practice for supporting network development; the approach is conceptually holistic, yet can proceed step-wise as essential variables achieve readiness. ROADS will be organized around Essential Arctic Variables (EAVs): conceptually broad observing categories (e.g. "sea ice") identified for their criticality to achieving Arctic societal benefit. EAV's are defined by their observing system requirements (e.g. spatial resolution, frequency, coverage, accuracy), which are technology-neutral and should transcend specific observing strategies, programs or regions. They are implemented through specific recommendations based on best available technology and practices. A holistic and collaborative observing system of EAV's is achieved through employing consistent strategies in identifying, linking and developing requirements for sampling. The EAV approach allows for progress on implementation, under an expectation of continuous innovation in the underlying technologies. Importantly, EAV's provide a structured interface for coordination and collaboration in support of societal benefit.

In keeping with the ROADS principle of complementing current efforts in a non-duplicative approach, a rational starting point for identifying most EAV's will be from existing catalogs of essential variables associated with global and regional networks. It is recognized that new EAV's - unique to the Arctic - will also be identified through relevant topical expert groups, following practices of knowledge coproduction. ROADS should define EAV requirements based on regionally-specific user needs and recommend implementation strategies that account for Arctic conditions (e.g. polar night) and opportunities (e.g. community observers). The ROADS process for each EAV should fully specify the observing and data systems requirements from acquisition through high impact information dissemination.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Including the GOOS Framework for Ocean Observing; Circumpolar Biodiversity Monitoring Program (and GEOBON); Arctic Monitoring Assessment Program (and GCOS); GEO Global Water Sustainability (GEOGLOWS)

Many global networks have defined templates<sup>4</sup> and principles for essential variable development. The ROADS process will evolve step-wise through a series of pilot efforts to develop an EAV template that is consistent with SAON's guiding principles, while complementary to other efforts.

#### **B.** Governance Structure

Given the nature of the ROADS process, a well-defined governance structure is necessary. SAON, through its broad constituency of board and committee members, as well as its rigorous mandate from the Arctic Council, IASC and the Arctic Science Ministers constitutes an appropriate governing body. Here, it should be underscored that Arctic Indigenous Peoples need to be recognized as rights holders in the Arctic, and research in their homeland needs to be conducted in partnership with them. Governance of and progress under ROADS shall be shaped by and benefit greatly from this critical consideration. ROADS shall proceed in accordance with guidelines on ethical research (e.g. NISR, 2018; IARPC, 2018) provided by Arctic Indigenous Peoples in the various locations.

The RMTF proposes that ROADS proceed under the following structure:

#### a. ROADS Advisory Panel

What: A standing advisory body to support the ROADS process, empaneled by the SAON Board.

Who: Representatives of SAON's Board, committees, and partners.

**Why**: The ROADS advisory panel will provide a neutral standing body to assure that each EAV is identified, defined and implemented according to ROADS principles. Further, the advisory body will have the ability to foster integration with other panels; facilitate inclusion of broadest expertise, including Indigenous experts; mobilize international participation and collaboration with global networks; and work to cultivate consensus approaches across panels. The ROADS Advisory Panel can also work with relevant funding agencies to advance support for expert panel efforts.

How: Convenes as required to review and approve proposals from the expert panels to initiate all phases of work and to organize peer review of their recommendations. It is anticipated that thematically broad efforts will include resources to support additional demands on the SAON Secretariat.

#### b. ROADS Expert Panels

**What**: Expert Panels convene around subject and/or region of interest; scope should be broad enough to cover at least one "Essential Arctic Variable", preferably a set of related EAV.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> For example, specifications for: GCOS ECV, GOOS EOV, GEOBON EBV.

Who: Subject matter experts from academia, Indigenous organizations, northern communities,
 operational agencies, industry, etc. These will mostly likely be led by existing regional programs (e.g.
 AMAP) or global networks (e.g. GCW) or large Arctic research networking activities.

**Why:** SAON does not have the capacity to initiate EAV development. It will be imperative to draw on those existing bodies that already have the expertise and remit to develop requirements and implementation strategies. Self-organization of the community, with funding developed through peer-reviewed process, will be the most effective and quality-driven means to proceed. SAON's advisory process will support alignment between and across Expert Panels at each phase of their progress.

#### How:

- 1. Initiate Write a brief proposal to the ROADS Advisory Panel outlining a proposed scope of activities and participants. SAON will have the opportunity to assure panel alignment with ROADS principles, like the equitable inclusion of Indigenous experts, and can furnish a support letter to acknowledge that alignment.
- 2. Phase I Convene a community-wide process to identify relevant EAV's for the scope. Relevance should be systematically assessed using IAOAF principally, through Value Tree Analyses, as well as using ethical guidelines i.e. partnership with Arctic Indigenous Peoples.
- 3. Phase II Convene a community-wide process to specify the requirements for each relevant EAV for the scope. Requirements should be comprehensive of data collection, management, analysis, system management, and dissemination.
- 4. Phase III Convene a community-wide process, in collaboration with relevant funding agencies, to outline strategies for implementation and engage commitments for sustainment. This process should describe which infrastructure are essential for current implementation. These include satellite earth observation programs, terrestrial stations, vessels, aircraft and various autonomous platforms providing observing systems. Implementation should also describe how these infrastructures will be integrated into value-added services and products and the strategy for their dissemination. This phase of work should also identify technology development needs in order to improve readiness of future generations of the observing system.

# 

## C. Evaluation System

Given the complexity and progressive nature of the proposed ROADS process, it will be critical to evaluate both the process and its elements on a revolving basis. The RMTF recommends that the ROADS process and each EAV be evaluated after 5 years.

## Where will ROADS take us?

ROADS is both a holistic concept, building from the systematic approach of the IAOAF, and one that can proceed step-wise so that the most imperative Arctic observing elements can be rapidly deployed. For each Essential Arctic Variable identified, ROADS will result in well-specified requirements for observing and a strategy for their implementation and timely dissemination. Funding agencies will recognize the

merits of an integrated and systematic community-wide process with coordinated international engagement. And global networks will recognize the value of regional facilitation through EAV's that extend the definitions and utility of their own essential variables.

# 220 How to get Involved...

SAON has matured since its inception into an organized with a clear mandate, compelling vision and robust partnerships. With the recent attention of the Arctic Science Ministerial process and the convening power of the Arctic Observing Summit, it is poised to deliver a Roadmap that will mobilize substantial sustained investments in well-defined and coordinated Arctic observing. We call upon SAON's partners in networks, infrastructures and observing activities to take up this call to join the ROADS process.



227 228	References
229	Arctic Council. 2009. Arctic Marine Shipping Assessment 2009 Report.
230	(https://pame.is/images/03 Projects/AMSA/AMSA 2009 report/AMSA 2009 Report 2nd pri
231	nt.pdf)
232	Arctic Council. 2011. Nuuk Declaration. (https://oaarchive.arctic-
233	council.org/bitstream/handle/11374/92/07_nuuk_declaration_2011_signed.pdf?sequence=1&isAllowe
234	<u>d=y</u> )
235	Arctic Council. 2016. Arctic Resilience Report. M. Carson and G. Peterson (eds). Stockholm Environment
236	Institute and Stockholm Resilience Centre, Stockholm. http://www. arctic-council.org/arr.
237	(https://oaarchive.arctic-council.org/bitstream/handle/11374/1838/ARR full report low-
238	res_161114b.pdf?sequence=1&isAllowed=y)
239	AMAP, 2017. Snow, Water, Ice and Permafrost. Summary for Policy-makers. Arctic Monitoring and
240	Assessment Programme (AMAP), Oslo, Norway. 20 pp.
241	(https://www.amap.no/documents/doc/snow-water-ice-and-permafrostsummary-for-policy-
242	makers/1532)
243	Arctic Observing Summit (AOS). 2015. Arctic Observing Summit – Conference Statement.
244	(https://www.arcticobservingsummit.org/sites/default/files/AOS%20Conference%20Statement_Final_R
245	ELEASED-2016-03-23.pdf)
246	Arctic Science Ministerial 2 (ASM2). 2018. Joint Statement of Ministers.
247	( <a href="https://www.arcticscienceministerial.org/files/ASM2_Joint_Statement.pdf">https://www.arcticscienceministerial.org/files/ASM2_Joint_Statement.pdf</a> )
248	Conservation of Arctic Flora and Fauna (CAFF). 2017. State of the Arctic Marine Biodiversity Report.
249	Conservation of Arctic Flora and Fauna International Secretariat, Akureyri, Iceland. 978-9935-431-63-9.
250	(https://www.caff.is/monitoring-series/431-state-of-the-arctic-marine-biodiversity-report-full-
251	report/download)
252	Framework for Ocean Observing (FOO). 2012. A Framework for Ocean Observing. By the Task Team for
253	an Integrated Framework for Sustained Ocean Observing. UNESCO 2012, IOC/INF-1284, doi:
254	10.5270/OceanObs09-FOO. ( <u>http://www.eoos-</u>
255	ocean.eu/download/GOOSFrameworkOceanObserving.pdf)
256	IDA Science and Technology Policy Institute and Sustaining Arctic Observing Networks. 2017.
257	International Arctic Observations Assessment Framework. IDA Science and Technology Policy Institute,
258	Washington, DC, U.S.A., and Sustaining Arctic Observing Networks, Oslo, Norway, 73 pp.
259	(https://www.arcticobserving.org/images/pdf/misc/STPI-SAON-International-Arctic-Observations-
260	Framework-Report-2017.pdf)

- 261 IMOBAR, 2018. Impact assessment study on societal benefits of Arctic observing systems.
- 262 (https://ec.europa.eu/jrc/en/news/observing-arctic-provides-benefits-beyond-climate-change-insights)
- 263 Interagency Arctic Research Policy Committee. 2018. *Principles for Conducting Research in the Arctic*.
- 264 (https://www.nsf.gov/geo/opp/arctic/conduct.jsp)
- 265 Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC). 2013. Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science
- 266 Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on
- 267 Climate Change [Stocker, T.F., D. Qin, G.-K. Plattner, M. Tignor, S.K. Allen, J. Boschung, A. Nauels, Y. Xia,
- V. Bex and P.M. Midgley (eds.)]. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York,
- NY, USA, 1535 pp. (https://www.ipcc.ch/site/assets/uploads/2018/02/WG1AR5\_all\_final.pdf)
- 270 Inuit Circumpolar Council-Alaska (ICC-AK). 2015. *Alaskan Inuit Food Security Conceptual Framework:*
- 271 How to Assess the Arctic from an Inuit Perspective. Technical Report. Anchorage, AK. Report prepared by
- 272 Carolina Behe. http://iccalaska.org. (https://iccalaska.org/wp-icc/wp-content/uploads/2016/03/Food-
- 273 <u>Security-Summary-and-Recommendations-Report.pdf</u>)
- 274 Inuit Circumpolar Council-Canada (ICC-CA). 2008. The Sea Ice is Our Highway.
- 275 (https://www.inuitcircumpolar.com/project/the-sea-ice-is-our-highway-an-inuit-perspective-on-
- 276 <u>transportation-in-the-arctic/</u>)
- 277 Inuit Circumpolar Council (ICC-CA). 2014. The Sea Ice Never Stops.
- 278 (https://www.inuitcircumpolar.com/project/the-sea-ice-never-stops-circumpolar-inuit-reflections-on-
- sea-ice-use-and-shipping-in-inuit-nunaat/)
- 280 Inuit Tapiriit Kanatami (ITK) 2018. National Inuit Strategy on Research (NISR).
- 281 (https://www.itk.ca/national-strategy-on-research)
- Overland, J.E., K. Dethloff, J.A. Francis, R.J. Hall, E. Hanna, S.-J. Kim, J.A. Screen, T.G. Shepherd, and T.
- Vihma. 2016. Nonlinear response of mid-latitude weather to the changing Arctic. Nature Clim. Change, 6,
- 992–999, doi: 10.1038/nclimate3121. (https://pmel.noaa.gov/public/pmel/publications-
- 285 <u>search/search\_abstract.php?fmContributionNum=4429</u>)
- SAON, 2018. Sustaining Arctic Observing Networks Strategy: 2018-2028.
- 287 (<a href="https://www.arcticobserving.org/strategy">https://www.arcticobserving.org/strategy</a>)